

1. “There is not an FDA-approved COVID vaccine in the US” — Senator Ron Johnson

<https://www.foxnews.com/media/ron-johnson-no-fda-approved-covid-vaccine>

“We do not have an FDA–approved vaccine being administered in the U.S. The FDA played a bait and switch. They approved the Comirnaty version of Pfizer drugs. It’s not available in the U.S. They even admit it. I sent them a letter three days later going “What are you doing?” What they did is they extended the emergency use authorization for the Pfizer drug vaccine that’s available in the U.S., here that’s more than 30 days later, they haven’t asked that very simple question. If you’re saying that the Pfizer drug is the same as the Comirnaty, why didn’t you provide FDA approval on that? So, there’s not an FDA-approved drug and, of course, they announced it so they could push through these mandates so that people actually think, “Oh, OK now these things are FDA approved. They are not ...”

2. The VAXX is in clinical trials until 2023

<https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04368728>

Therefore, those using coercion and threats to force vaxx are attempting to mandate human subject experimentation and require, unlawfully, that individuals participate in the government medical experiment with an unsafe gene therapy that does not prevent transmission, according to CDC.

The experimental injection has killed and injured thousands of people so far according to the government's own VAERS statistics.

3. 45 CFR § 46.116 General requirements for informed consent.

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/cfr/text/45/46.116>

“(1) Before involving a human subject in [research](#) covered by this policy, an investigator shall obtain the legally effective informed consent of the subject or the subject’s [legally authorized representative](#).”

4. 38 U.S. Code § 7331 — Informed consent

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/38/7331>

“The Secretary, upon the recommendation of the Under Secretary for Health and pursuant to the provisions of [section 7334 of this title](#), shall prescribe regulations establishing procedures to ensure that all medical and prosthetic research carried out and, to the maximum extent practicable, all patient care furnished under this title shall be carried out only with the full and informed consent of the patient or subject or, in appropriate cases, a representative thereof.”

5. 50 U.S. Code § 1520a — Restrictions on use of human subjects for testing of chemical or biological agents

US Code Informed Consent Human Subjects Testing

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/50/1520a>

“(c) Informed consent required

The Secretary of Defense may conduct a test or experiment described in subsection (b) only if informed consent to the testing was obtained from each human subject in advance of the testing on that subject.”

6. 21 U.S. Code § 360bbb-3 - Authorization for medical products for use in emergencies

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/21/360bbb-3>

21 U.S. Code § 360bbb-3 / Go To (e) (1) (A) (ii) (III) Right to refuse product under EUA

"(III)of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product, and of the alternatives to the product that are available and of their benefits and risks."

7. ...The vaxx is still in clinical trials until 2023...

<https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04368728>

Informed consent for clinical trials

<https://www.fda.gov/patients/clinical-trials-what-patients-need-know/informed-consent-clinical-trials>

8. Informed consent in research_AMA

<https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ethics/informed-consent-research>

9. The Nuremberg Code

<https://history.nih.gov/display/history/Nuremberg%2BCode>

The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.

10. Physician: Informed Consent For COVID Vaccine Requires Full Disclosure Of Risk & Liability, And Here It Is...

<https://tapnewswire.com/2021/03/physician-informed-consent-for-covid-vaccine-requires-full-disclosure-of-risk-liability-and-here-it-is/>

"If you have read and understood this article, and the warnings in all the scientific studies linked, you can now see that taking the COVID injection is an act of extreme and reckless self-destruction. As a physician, I strongly advise against this vaccine, regardless of brand, for everyone, without exception."

11. Federal law prohibits employers and others from requiring vaccination with a Covid-19 vaccine distributed under an EUA

<https://www.statnews.com/2021/02/23/federal-law-prohibits-employers-and-others-from-requiring-vaccination-with-a-covid-19-vaccine-distributed-under-an-eua/>

EUAs are clear: Getting these vaccines is voluntary

The [same section](#) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act that authorizes the FDA to grant emergency use authorization also requires the secretary of Health and Human Services to “ensure that individuals to whom the product is administered are informed ... of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product.”

Likewise, the [FDA's guidance](#) on emergency use authorization of medical products requires the FDA to “ensure that recipients are informed to the extent practicable given the applicable circumstances ... That they have the option to accept or refuse the EUA product ...”

12. Masks are neither safe nor effective

<https://www.technocracy.news/masks-are-neither-effective-nor-safe-a-summary-of-the-science/>

The largest, most definitive review of all facemasking studies, Kisielinski et al, 2021, concludes that facemasking is harmful and ineffective for its stated purpose. This review constitutes the most powerful piece of scientific evidence that can possibly be brought to a court under the Supreme Court Daubert Rule.

Kisielinski K, Giboni P, Prescher A, Klosterhalfen B, Graessel D, Funken S, Kempfski O, Hirsch O. Is a Mask That Covers the Mouth and Nose Free from Undesirable Side Effects in Everyday Use and Free of Potential Hazards? Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Apr 20;18(8):4344. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18084344. PMID: 33923935; PMCID: PMC8072811.

<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33923935/>

13. According to U.S. code, coercion of the population meets the definition of "domestic terrorism" and is a felony:

18 U.S. Code § 2331 - Definitions

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/18/2331>

(5) the term "domestic terrorism" means activities that—

(A)

involve acts dangerous to human life that are a violation of the criminal laws of the United States or of any State;

(B) appear to be intended—

(i)

to intimidate or coerce a civilian population;
